Maintaining the safety case in High Risk Mines

Alex Campbell Beck Engineering

30 minutes





Immediate Action...





Big event...





Some years later...

What essential lesson does this teach us?

We need to employ the OBSERVATIONAL METHOD

- This means
 - We make the best design we can
 - We rely on the best defendable assumptions
 - We implement control measures we think are sufficient
- BUT, we continuously evaluate whether:
 - The control measures are working
 - Our assumptions are correct
 - That things we never imagined are not evolving
- We update the plan when we have to
- Whenever we can't make a safety case, we stop and take the safe option.

(and it is the law too!!)

HOW THE \$%^& IS WATCHING DOTS ON THE SCREEN DOING THIS???

CONCLUSION...

The ongoing observational program confirming mine stability is an essential task in retaining a license to mine

Design the mine

De-stressing and pre-conditioning

Exclusion procedures and zones (traffic lights)

Enhanced ground support

RE-DESIGN

OBSERVE+ MEASURE

Does the data match our stability hypothesis?

Is it working? Has it changed? What will happen next? What is happening now? Can we make a safety case?

Parts of the observational program...

1. OBSERVATION AND MEASUREMENT:

Maintain a current and sufficient resolution 4d database of forecast and measured seismicity and deformation throughout the mine

Parts of the observational program...

2. ANALYSIS AND DESIGN:

ROCK

ENGINEERING

SEISMOLOGY

- Maintain an interpretation of the current and expected nature of instability in the mine
- Confirm that the mine is evolving as expected and disconfirm other more adverse scenarios
- Identify expected and new hazards and mechanisms for dynamic deformation in the mine, to trigger a response
- Maintain the lower control measures:
 - Ground support
- Exclusion procedures and TARPs
- Continuously evaluate efficacy and update all control measures whenever needed

IMPORTANT:

- The speed of the decision cycle must be faster than the rate that hazards can evolve
- This applies to TARPs, all decisions and all updates to engineering controls

Stability Hypothesis: Model + Experience

Stability Hypothesis: Geology + Experience

SIMULATION AIDED MINING

0.8

THIS IS CHANGE IN THE LAST YEAR (MEASURED)

0.12

0.41

COALESCENCE

MOMENT

(=RUPTURE) based on

Stability Hypothesis: Geology + Experience

ALL NEW MOVEMEN/ TO STOPE BROW

How will these deform? Fast or slow?

Faults and seismicity, measured displacement, modelled displacement

Faults and seismicity, measured displacement, modelled displacement

Faults and seismicity, measured displacement, modelled displacement

Big event...

+a few more months

SIMULATION AIDED MINING

and then??

Some years later...

CONCLUSION...

The ongoing observational program is an essential task in retaining a license to mine

Design the mine

De-stressing and pre-conditioning

Exclusion procedures and zones (traffic lights)

Enhanced ground support

RE-DESIGN

OBSERVE+ MEASURE

Does the data match our stability hypothesis?

Is it working? Has it changed? What will happen next? What is happening now? Can we make a safety case?